Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 94 (1975) C9–C13 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

Preliminary communication

A NONRIGID MIXED-CHELATE COMPLEX OF IRON(0)

C.B. UNGERMANN and K.G. CAULTON*

Department of Chemistry^{*}, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47401 (U.S.A.) (Received March 24th, 1975; by publisher May 21st, 1975) **C**9

Summary

The reaction of $Fe(CO)(CH_2 = CH - CH = CH_2)_2$ with $(Ph_2 PCH_2)_2$ results in formation of a 4/1 mixture of two isomers of $Fe(CO)(CH_2 = CH - CH = CH_2)$. $(Ph_2 PCH_2 CH_2 PPh_2)$. NMR studies concerning the structures of these isomers and their dynamic behavior in solution are described.

Five-coordinate bis-butadiene complexes assume structures which are best characterized as square pyramidal. Thus, both $FeCO(C_4 H_6)_2$ [1] and $RhCl(C_4 H_6)_2$ [2] have four equivalent double bonds which occupy the basal positions in a square pyramid. ML(Ph₂ PCH₂CH₂ PPh₂)₂ complexes, on the other hand, are equatorially substituted trigonal bipyramids [3]. We report here the outcome of a competitive experiment which places one butadiene and one chelating phosphine in the same five-coordinate complex. The non-rigidity of this complex compares to that of $Fe(C_4 H_6)(CO)_3$ [4] and its PF₃ derivatives [5].

Reaction of the bis-butadiene complex FeCO($C_4 H_6$)₂ [6] with (Ph₂PCH₂)₂, dpe, in refluxing benzene for 19 h produces a material of stoichiometry FeCO(C_4H_6)(dpe). Anal. Found: C, 68.55; H, 5.66; P, 11.43. $C_{31}H_{30}$ FeOP₂ calcd.: C, 69.43; H, 5.60; P, 11.57%. In spite of the fact that this material shows only one CO stretching frequency both in Nujol (1905 cm⁻¹) and in acetone (1895 cm⁻¹), the ³¹P NMR spectrum shows this to be a 4/1 mixture of two isomers. At -63° in acetone- d_6 (Fig. 1), one isomer (S) exhibits a singlet at 91.2 ppm^{*}. The more abundant isomer, Q, is characterized by an AX pattern with $v_A = 102.1$ ppm, $v_X = 91.3$ ppm, and $J_{AX} = 16.9$ Hz. All resonances are unusually far downfield from the chemical shift of the free ligand (-12.5 ppm). Such a shift is characteristic of a five-membered chelate ring [7,8]. No trigonal bipyramidal structure for FeCO(C₄H₆)(dpe) has rigorously equivalent phosphorus nuclei. Structure I, a variant on the square

^{*}Contribution no. 2649.

^{**} Positive chemical shifts are downfield from 85% H₃PO₄.



C10

Fig.1. ³¹P{H}NMR spectrum of FeCO(C_4H_6)(dpe) in acetone- d_6 at -63°.

pyramidal structure adopted by $FeCO(C_4 H_6)_2$, is consistent with the singlet spectrum observed for isomer S.



If isomer Q of $FeCO(C_4H_6)(dpe)$ is trigonal bipyramidal, the chelating phosphine must occupy axial and equatorial sites (II and III).

Structure III has several unattractive features. The strong π -acid CO would be more stable in an equatorial position [9]. Olefins in equatorial positions prefer to lie in, or nearly in, the equatorial plane rather than perpendicular to it [10]. Finally, butadiene has a relatively small "bite angle"

 $(\sim 62^{\circ})$ [4], making it better suited to span axial—equatorial positions (II), rather than two equatorial positions (III).

C11

Two square pyramidal forms, IV and V, have inequivalent phosphorus nuclei.



The small (83°) bite angle of dpe will distort IV so that it is merely a variant of II (and vice versa). In fact, the actual structure of isomer Q will be a compromise between these idealizations and it is meaningless to focus on the trigonal and square extremes. Henceforth, all distinctions between II and IV will be dropped. Structure V is unfavorable with regard to the wide angle spanned by butadiene. The similarity of the X chemical shift of isomer Q and the chemical shift of isomer S can be rationalized if Q has structure IV and the 91 ppm chemical shift is that of a basal phosphorus.



Fig.2. Variable-temperature ³¹P {H} NMR spectrum of FeCO(C₄H₆)(dpe). Upper: + 30° (calibration bar equals 162 Hz). Middle: - 8°. Lower: simulated spectrum at - 8° with $\nu_A = 101.3$, $\nu_X = 91.2$ and $\nu_S = 90.6$ (i.e., the chemical shifts are slightly temperature dependent).

Phosphorus NMR spectra recorded at higher temperatures (Fig. 2) show dynamic behavior typical of five-coordinate species. At -8° , the AX pattern has become two broad lines (peak width at half height 75 Hz), and at 30° these have collapsed to a single broad (100 Hz) line. At -8° , the singlet resonance due to S is sharper than the lines of the AX pattern, but clearly suffers some lifetime broadening; fortuituously, the singlet nearly superimposes on the upfield line of the AX pattern. Since a single broad resonance is evident at 30°, the two molecules are truly isomeric and interconvertible. Further, the chemical shift of the resonance at 30° is not simply the average of v_A and v_X' , but is a weighted^{*} average of v_A' , v_X and the resonance frequency of the singlet species, S (see Fig. 2).

The ³¹P NMR spectra were simulated using a model with three exchanging chemical configurations (see Scheme 1). Here S represents the isomer producing a singlet spectrum and Q is the isomer responsible for the quartet^{**}.

SCHEME 1



Q' is related to Q by permutation of the inequivalent phosphorus nuclei. Since S contains equivalent phosphorus nuclei, it might be anticipated that this isomer is a necessary intermediate in the permutation of nuclei in Q. In terms of the chosen model, this limiting situation has Q converting to Q' only via S; this means $k(QQ') \ll k(Q \rightarrow S)$. Actual simulation shows such a model to be inconsistent with observation. In particular, this model results in a shorter lifetime for S than for Q or Q'. This is qualitatively different from Fig. 2, which shows S to have a narrower line than Q. The -8° spectrum can be reproduced with $k(QQ') = 200 \sec^{-1}$ and $k(S \rightarrow Q) = 45 \sec^{-1}$ (Fig. 2). This gives $\Delta G^{\neq}(QQ') = 12.6 \text{ kcal/mol and } \Delta G^{\neq}(S \rightarrow Q) = 13.4 \text{ kcal/mol at } -8^{\circ}$. These parameters also yield the single-line spectrum observed at 30°, implying that the ΔG^{\neq} values are essentially temperature independent. Thus, contrary to our naive expectation, the main mechanism for site exchange $(Q \rightleftharpoons Q')$ is not via S. There is a path for direct interconversion of Q and Q' which is of lower activation energy than the path producing S.

The coexistence of observable populations of two isomeric five-coordinate complexes is exceptional. Equilibration between two geometrically or structurally isomeric five-coordinate structures has been observed for $IrH(CO)_2$ (PPh₃)₂ [11], HRuNO(PPh₂-i-Pr)₃ [12] and CF₃ Co(CO)₃ PF₃ [13]. It is noteworthy that in the case at hand, as well as with $IrH(CO)_2$ (PPh₃)₂, site exchange of inequivalent phosphorus nuclei within one isomer occurs faster than isomerization itself; the transition states for the two processes are therefore structurally distinct. RuCO[S₂C₂(CF₃)₂]₂(PPh₃)₂ also exists as two structural isomers in the solid state [14]. One isomer has equivalent phosphines

^{*}Isomer populations at -8° and 30° do not differ detectably from those at -63° .

^{**} The rate constant for conversion of Q (or Q') to S is given by $k(Q \rightarrow S) = k(S \rightarrow Q) [S] [Q]^{-1}$

while the other should exhibit an AX phosphorus NMR pattern. In this case, however, we observe only a sharp singlet (45.1 ppm in CH₂ Cl₂) down to -89°.

FeCO(C₄ H₆)(dpe) is stable to further substitution by $(Ph_2 PCH_2)_2$, even under forcing conditions (excess chelate in refluxing *p*-xylene for 15 hours). After submission of this manuscript, Whitesides and Budnik [15] published an extensive series of observations on rearrangements like those observed here. Significantly, they observe cases where $k(S \rightarrow Q) > k(QQ')$.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge support of this work by the National Science Foundation (GP-38641X). We also thank Dr. F.-W. Grevels for details on the preparation of FeCO($C_4 H_6$)₂ and for a sample of this compound.

References

- 1 C. Kruger and Y.-H. Tsay, Angew. Chem. Intern. Ed., 10 (1971) 261.
- 2 A. Immirzi and G. Allegra, Acta, Cryst., B, 25 (1969) 120.
- 3 C.B. Ungermann, unpublished observations.
- 4 L. Kruczynski and J. Takats, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 96 (1974) 932.
- 5 M.A. Busch and R.J. Clark, Inorg. Chem., 14 (1975) 226 and refs. therein.
- 6 E. Koerner von Gustorf, J. Buchkremer, Z. Pfajfer and F.-W. Grevels, Angew. Chem. Intern. Ed., 10 (1971) 260.
- 7 S.O. Grim, W.L. Briggs, R.C. Barth, C.A. Tolman and J.P. Jesson, Inorg. Chem., 13 (1974) 1095.
- 8 S.O. Grim, J. Del Gaudio, R.P. Molenda, C.A. Tolman and J.P. Jesson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 96 (1974) 3416.
- 9 A. Rossi and R. Hoffmann, Inorg. Chem., 14 (1975) 365.
- 10 N. Rosch and R. Hoffmann, Inorg. Chem., 13 (1974) 2656.
- 11 P. Meakin, E.L. Muetterties and J.P. Jesson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94 (1972) 5271.
- 12 S.T. Wilson and J.A. Osborn, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93 (1971) 3068.
- 13 R.J. Clark and M.A. Busch, Accounts Chem. Res., 6 (1973) 246.
- 14 I. Bernal, A. Clearfield and J.S. Ricci, Jr., J. Cryst. Mol. Struct., 4 (1974) 43 and refs. therein.
- 15 T.H. Whitesides and R.A. Budnik, Inorg. Chem., 14 (1975) 664.